UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/998,186	12/03/2001	Hyun Kyun Kim	2060-3541	4452
35884 LEE, HONG, I	7590 07/20/200 DEGERMAN, KANG &	EXAMINER		
660 S. FIGUEROA STREET Suite 2300			PHAM, TUAN	
LOS ANGELE	ES, CA 90017		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/998,186	KIM, HYUN KYUN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	TUAN A. PHAM	2618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the cover	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 M	lav 2007					
<u> </u>	action is non-final.					
· <u>-</u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
<i>,</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-33</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	◯ Claim(s) 21-33 is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) <u>21-26, and 30-33</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-29</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
		Evaminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/998,186 Page 2

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 05/25/2007 has been entered.
- 2. On 07/10/2007, Examiner has made a call to attorney of record, Lew Edward Macapagal to proposal amendment to allow. However, there is no response has been received.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 27 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 5,995,820, hereinafter, "Young") in view of Koizumi et al. (US Patent No.: 5,745,583, hereinafter, "Koizumi").

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 27, Young teaches a mobile terminal for use in a wireless communication, comprising (see figure 3):

a microphone to receive speech signals (see figure 3, MIC 70);

a speaker to provide audio signals (see figure 3, SPK 62);

an antenna to receive/transmit signals (see figure 3, antenna 50);

a codec configured to decode a coded signal to a decoded signal (see figure 3, CODEC 58);

an equalizer unit configured to adjust the decoded signal to provide equalized signal to the speaker (see figure 3, A/D 54, equalizer 55, SPK 62); and

the processor further adapted to provide a second control signal to the codec, and the processor to supply a digital speech signal received from another mobile communication terminal to the codec (see figure 3, processor 59, CODEC 58, col.3, In.1-20).

It should be noticed that Young fails to teach an input device to receive user interface to control equalizer frequency of the audio signals output to the speaker; an equalizer unit configured to adjust the decoded signal to provide equalized signal to the speaker; and a processor adapted to supply a first control signal corresponding to a frequency band set by a user to the equalizer unit, the equalizer unit being controlled in response to the first control signal. However, Koizumi teaches an input device to receive user interface to control equalizer frequency of the audio signals output to the speaker (see figure 1, mode selection key 16, equalizer 6, speaker 20, col.2, ln.66-67, col.3, In.1-3); and a processor adapted to supply a first control signal corresponding to a

Art Unit: 2618

frequency band set by a user to the equalizer unit, the equalizer unit being controlled in response to the first control signal (see figure 1, microcomputer is supply the control signal to equalizer 6 corresponding to the user selection mode, col.3, ln.1-18).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Koizumi into view of Young in order to automatically adjust the sound volume to a constant optimum level as suggested by Koizumi at col.2, In.10-14.

Regarding claim 29, Young further teaches the codec comprises a coder and decoder (see figure 3, D/A 61, A/D 71).

5. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Young et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 5,995,820, hereinafter, "Young") in view of Koizumi

et al. (US Patent No.: 5,745,583, hereinafter, "Koizumi") as applied to claim 27

above, and further in view of Dobbs et al. (U.S. Patent No.:5,566,237, hereinafter,
"Dobbs").

Regarding claim 28, Young and Koizumi, in combination, fails to teach the equalizing device comprises a plurality of active filters. However, Dobbs teaches such features (see col. 10, In.49-51).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Dobbs, into view of Young and Koizumi in order to filter out the unwanted signals.

Art Unit: 2618

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 21-26, and 30-33 are allowed.

Regarding claim 21, the prior art fails to disclose or render obvious an equalizer control circuit adapted to supply a timbre control signal; and a processor adapted to supply a first control signal corresponding to a frequency band set by a user to the equalizer control circuit, the equalizer control circuit to supply the timbre control signal to the equalizer device based on the first control signal, the processor further adapted to provide a second control signal to the converting device, and the processor to supply a digital speech signal received from another mobile communication terminal to the converting device, in combination with other limitations, as specified in the independent claim 21, and further limitations of their respective dependent claims 22-26, and 30-31.

Regarding claim 32, the prior art fails to disclose or render obvious an equalizer control circuit adapted to supply a timbre control signal; and a CPU adapted to supply a first control signal corresponding to a frequency band set by a user to the equalizer control circuit, the equalizer control circuit to supply the timbre control signal to the equalizer device based on the first control signal, the CPU further adapted to provide a second control signal to the codec, and the CPU to supply a digital speech signal received from another mobile communication terminal to the codec, as specified in the independent claim 32.

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 33, the prior art fails to disclose or render obvious a CPU adapted to generate a first control signal according to a frequency band set by a user and to provide a second control signal to the codec; an equalizer control circuit adapted to generate a timbre control signal according to the first control signal of the CPU; and an equalizer adapted to adjust a signal to receive from the equalizer control circuit to provide equalized signal to the speaker, the equalizer being connected to the microphone, the speaker and the codec in such a fashion that the equalizer is disposed between the microphone/speaker and the codec, as specified in the independent claim 33.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan A. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-8097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Anderson can be reached on (571) 272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Art Unit: 2618

Page 7

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have question on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Technology 2600

Art Unit 2618

July 16, 2007

₿xaminer

Tuan Pham